arXiv:1408.2022v1 [math.RT] 9 Aug 2014

DIHEDRAL GROUP FRAMES WHICH ARE MAXIMALLY ROBUST TO ERASURES

VIGNON OUSSA

ABSTRACT. Let n be a natural number larger than two. Let $D_{2n} = \langle r, s : r^n = s^2 = e, srs = r^{n-1} \rangle$ be the Dihedral group, and κ an n-dimensional unitary representation of D_{2n} acting in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as follows. $(\kappa(r)v)(j) = v((j-1) \mod n)$ and $(\kappa(s)v)(j) = v((n-j) \mod n)$ for $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$. For any representation which is unitarily equivalent to κ, we prove that when n is prime there exists a Zariski open subset E of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that for any vector $v \in E$, any subset of cardinality n of the orbit of v under the action of this representation is a basis for $\mathbb{C}^n$. However, when n is even there is no vector in $\mathbb{C}^n$ which satisfies this property. As a result, we derive that if n is prime, for almost every (with respect to Lebesgue measure) vector v in $\mathbb{C}^n$ the Γ-orbit of v is a frame which is maximally robust to erasures. We also consider the case where τ is equivalent to an irreducible unitary representation of the Dihedral group acting in a vector space $\mathbf{H}_\tau \in \left\{\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^2\right\}$ and we provide conditions under which it is possible to find a vector $v \in \mathbf{H}_\tau$ such that $\tau(\Gamma)v$ has the Haar property.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a set of $m \geq n$ vectors in an n-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{K}^n$ over a field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. We say that $\mathfrak{F}$ has the **Haar property** if any subset of $\mathfrak{F}$ of

Date: August 12, 2014.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A15,42C15.
Key words and phrases. Linearly independent frames, Haar property.

cardinality n is a basis for $\mathbb{K}^n$. Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right) & & & \\ & & \exp\left(\frac{4\pi i}{n}\right) & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i(n-1)}{n}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

be two invertible matrices with complex entries. The group generated by these matrices is isomorphic to the finite Heisenberg group

$$\text{Heis}\,(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m & k \\ 0 & 1 & l \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : (k,m,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n \right\}$$

which is a nilpotent group. It is well-known that (see [5]) if n is prime then there exists a Zariski open set E of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that for every vector $v \in E$, the set $\{M^l T^k v : 1 \leq l,k \leq n\}$ has the Haar property. This special property has some important application in the theory of frames. We recall that a frame (see [2]) in a Hilbert space is a sequence of vectors $(u_k)_{k\in I}$ with the property that there exist constants a,b which are strictly positive such that for any vector u in the given Hilbert space, we have

$$a\left\|u\right\|^2 \leq \sum_{k\in I} |\langle u, u_k\rangle|^2 \leq b\left\|u\right\|^2. \tag{1.1}$$

The constant values a,b are called the frame bounds of the frame. It can be derived from (1.1) that a frame in a finite-dimensional vector space is simply a spanning set for the vector space. Thus, every basis is a frame. However, it is not the case that every frame is a basis. Indeed, frames are generally linearly dependent sets. Let π be a unitary representation of a group G acting in a Hilbert space $\mathbf{H}_\pi$. Let $v \in \mathbf{H}_\pi$. Any set of the type $\pi(G)v$ which is a frame is called a G-frame.

A frame $(x_k)_{k\in I}$ in an n-dimensional vector space is maximally robust to erasures if the removal of any $l \leq m-n$ vectors from the frame leaves a frame (see [5], [2] Section 5.) Coming back to the example of the Heisenberg group previously discussed, it is proved in [5] that if n is prime then the set $\{M^j T^k v : (k,j) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2\}$ is maximally robust to erasures for almost every (with respect to Lebesgue measure) $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$. In the present work, we consider a variation of this example. The main objective of this paper is to prove that

there exists a class of Dihedral group frames which are maximally robust to erasures.

Let n be a natural number greater than two. Let D_{2n} be the Dihedral group of order $2n$. A presentation of the Dihedral group is:

$$D_{2n} = \left\langle r, s : r^n = s^2 = 1, srs = r^{n-1} \right\rangle.$$

Next, we define a monomorphism $\kappa : D_{2n} \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\kappa(r) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \kappa(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \iddots & \iddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \iddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.2}$$

Clearly κ is a finite dimensional unitary representation of the Dihedral group which is reducible. Put

$$A = \kappa(r) \text{ and } B = \kappa(s).$$

Next, let Γ be a finite subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ which is generated by the matrices A and B. We are interested in the following questions.

Problem 1 Let α be a unitary representation of the Dihedral acting in a Hilbert space $\mathbf{H}_\alpha$. Suppose that α is unitarily equivalent to κ. Under which conditions is it possible to find a vector $v \in \mathbf{H}_\alpha$ such that the set $\{\alpha(x) v : x \in D_{2n}\}$ has the Haar property?

Problem 2 Let τ be a unitary irreducible representation of D_{2n} acting in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbf{H}_\tau$. Under which conditions is it possible to find a vector $v \in \mathbf{H}_\tau$ such that $\tau(D_{2n}) v = \{\tau(x) v : x \in D_{2n}\}$ has the Haar property?

To reformulate the problems above, put $D_{2n} = \{x_1, \cdots, x_{2n}\}$. Let α be a representation of the Dihedral group acting in $\mathbf{H}_\alpha$ which is either irreducible and unitary or is equivalent to κ. We would like to investigate conditions under which it is possible to find

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} v_0, & \cdots & , v_{\dim(\mathbf{H}_\alpha)-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{\dim(\mathbf{H}_\alpha)}$$

such that every minor of order $\dim(\mathbf{H}_\alpha)$ of the $2n \times \dim(\mathbf{H}_\alpha)$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} (x_1 v)_0 & \cdots & (x_1 v)_{\dim \mathbf{H}_\alpha - 1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ (x_{2n} v)_0 & \cdots & (x_{2n} v)_{\dim \mathbf{H}_\alpha - 1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is nonzero. Here is a summary of the main results of the paper.

Theorem 1. *Let n be a natural number larger than two, and let α be a representation which is equivalent to κ. The following holds true:*

1. *If n is even then it is not possible to find a vector $v \in \mathbf{H}_\alpha$ such that $\alpha(D_{2n})v$ has the Haar property.*
2. *If n is prime then there exists a Zariski open set $E \subset \mathbf{H}_\alpha$ such that for any $v \in E$, $\alpha(D_{2n})v$ has the Haar property.*
3. *If n is prime then there exists a Zariski open set $E \subset \mathbf{H}_\alpha$ such that for any $v \in E$, $\alpha(D_{2n})v$ is a frame in $\mathbb{C}^n$ which is maximally robust to erasures.*

Theorem 2. *Let τ be an irreducible representation of the Dihedral group acting in a vector space $\mathbf{H}_\tau$.*

1. *If τ is a character then for any nonzero complex number z, $\tau(D_{2n})z$ has the Haar property.*
2. *If τ is not a character and if n is prime then for almost every vector v in $\mathbf{H}_\tau$, $\tau(D_{2n})v$ has the Haar property.*
3. *If τ is not a character and if n is even then there does not exist a vector v in $\mathbf{H}_\tau$ such that $\tau(D_{2n})v$ has the Haar property.*
4. *Suppose that n is a composite odd natural number.*
   (a) *There exists an irreducible representation τ' of D_{2n} acting in $\mathbf{H}_{\tau'}$ such that for any vector in v in $\mathbf{H}_{\tau'}$, $\tau'(D_{2n})v$ does not have the Haar property.*
   (b) *There exists an irreducible representation τ'' of D_{2n} acting in $\mathbf{H}_{\tau''}$ such that for almost every vector in v in $\mathbf{H}_{\tau''}$, $\tau''(D_{2n})v$ has the Haar property.*

Our work is organized as follows. In the second section, we recall some well-known facts about Fourier analysis on finite abelian groups, and the Laplace's Expansion Formulas which are all crucial for the proofs of the main results. The main results of the paper (Theorem 1, and Theorem 2) are proved in

the third section of the paper. Finally, examples are computed in the fourth section.

2. Preliminaries

Let us start by fixing some notations. Given a matrix M, the transpose of M is denoted M^T. The determinant of a matrix M is denoted by $\det(M)$ or $|M|$. The kth row of M is denoted $\mathrm{row}_k(M)$ and similarly, the kth column of the matrix M is denoted $\mathrm{col}_k(M)$.

Let G be a group with a binary operation which we denote multiplicatively. Let E be a subset of G. The set E^{-1} is a subset of G which contains all inverses of elements of E. More precisely, $E^{-1} = \{a^{-1} : a \in E\}$. For example, let G be the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Then given any subset E of $\mathbb{Z}_n$,

$$E^{-1} = \{(n-k) \bmod n : k \in E\}.$$

Let G be a group acting on a set S. We denote this action multiplicatively. For any fixed element $s \in S$, the G-orbit of s is described as $Gs = \{gs : g \in G\}$.

Let α be a unitary representation of a group G acting in a Hilbert space $\mathbf{H}_\alpha$. That is, α is a homomorphism from G into the group of unitary matrices of order $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{H}_\alpha)$. We say that α is an irreducible representation of G if and only if the only subspaces of $\mathbf{H}_\alpha$ which are invariant under the action of α are the trivial ones. For example a unitary character (a homomorphism from G into the circle group) is an irreducible unitary representation. Two unitary representations α, α' of a group G acting in $\mathbf{H}_\alpha, \mathbf{H}_{\alpha'}$ respectively are equivalent if there exists a unitary map $U : \mathbf{H}_\alpha \to \mathbf{H}_{\alpha'}$ such that

$$U\alpha(x)U^{-1} = \alpha'(x) \text{ for all } x \in G.$$

We say that U intertwines the representations α and α'. Let M be a matrix. The transpose of M is denoted M^T. Next, let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The complex conjugate of z is written as $\overline{z}$. The cardinality of a set S is denoted $\mathrm{card}(S)$. Throughout this paper, we shall always assume that n is a natural number larger than two.

Lemma 3. *Let α, α' be two equivalent unitary representations of a group G. Let U be a unitary map which intertwines the representations α, α'. Let $v \in \mathbf{H}_\alpha$. Then $\alpha(G)v$ has the Haar property if and only if $\alpha'(G)Uv$ has the Haar property.*

Proof. Let us suppose that $\alpha(G)v$ has the Haar property. Then for any subset K of G of cardinality $\dim \mathbf{H}_\alpha$, the set $\alpha(H)v$ is a basis for the vector space $\mathbf{H}_\alpha$. Since U is a unitary map, then $U\alpha(H)v$ is a basis for $\mathbf{H}_{\alpha'}$. However, $U\alpha(H)v = \alpha'(H)Uv$. Thus, $\alpha'(H)Uv$ is a basis for $\mathbf{H}_{\alpha'}$ for any subset H of G of cardinality $\dim \mathbf{H}_{\alpha'}$. The proof of the converse is obtained by using similar arguments, and we shall omit it. □

2.1. **Fourier Analysis on $\mathbb{Z}_n$.** Let $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$. We define the Hilbert space

$$l^2(\mathbb{Z}_n) = \{f : \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{C}\}$$

which is the set of all complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ endowed with the following inner product:

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \phi(x)\overline{\psi(x)} \text{ for } \phi, \psi \in l^2(\mathbb{Z}_n).$$

The norm of a given vector ϕ in $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is computed as follows:

$$\|\phi\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z}_n)} = \langle \phi, \phi \rangle^{1/2}.$$

We recall that the discrete Fourier transform is a map $\mathcal{F} : l^2(\mathbb{Z}_n) \to l^2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}\phi)(\xi) = \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \phi(k) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k \xi}{n}\right), \text{ for } \phi \in l^2(\mathbb{Z}_n).$$

The following facts are also well-known (see [7]). Firstly, the discrete Fourier transform is a bijective linear operator. Secondly, the Fourier inverse of a vector φ is computed as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi(k) = \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \varphi(\xi) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i k \xi}{n}\right).$$

Finally, the Fourier transform is a unitary operator. More precisely, given $\phi, \psi \in l^2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, we have

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}\phi, \mathcal{F}\psi \rangle.$$

We shall need the following lemma which is proved in [3].

Lemma 4. *Let* $\mathbf{F}$ *be the matrix representation of the Fourier transform. If* n *is prime then every minor of* $\mathbf{F}$ *is nonzero.*

We recall that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \iddots & \iddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \iddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Identifying $l^2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ with $\mathbb{C}^n$ via the map

$$v \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} v(0) & \cdots & v(n-1) \end{pmatrix}^T,$$

we may write

$$Av(j) = v((j-1) \bmod n) \text{ and } Bv(j) = v((n-j) \bmod n).$$

Lemma 5. *For any $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have $(\mathcal{F}Bv)(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}v)((n-\xi) \bmod n)$ and $(\mathcal{F}Av)(\xi) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}\xi}(\mathcal{F}v)(\xi)$.*

Proof. The proof of this lemma follows from some formal calculations. Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}Bv)(\xi) &= \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} Bv(k) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k \xi}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} v((n-k) \bmod n) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k \xi}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} v(m) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i m((n-\xi) \bmod n)}{n}\right) \\ &= (\mathcal{F}v)((n-\xi) \bmod n). \end{aligned}$$

Secondly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(Av)(\xi) &= \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} Av(k) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k \xi}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_n} v(m) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i (m+1) \xi}{n}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}\xi} \mathcal{F}v(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

From Lemma 5, we obtain the following. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be the matrix representation of the Fourier transform and define

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{F}A\mathbf{F}^{-1} \text{ and } \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{F}B\mathbf{F}^{-1} = B.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} & & & \\ & & e^{\frac{4\pi i}{n}} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & e^{\frac{2\pi i(n-1)}{n}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \iddots & \iddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \iddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.1.1. *Laplace's Expansion Theorem.* The following discussion is mainly taken from Chapter 3, [4]. Let X be a square matrix of order n.

Definition 6. *A minor of X is the determinant of any square sub-matrix Y of X. Let $|Y|$ be an m-rowed minor of X. The determinant of the sub-matrix obtained by deleting from X the rows and columns represented in Y is called the complement of $|Y|$. Let $|Y|$ be the m-rowed minor of X in which rows $i_1, \cdots, i_m$ and columns $j_1, \cdots, j_m$ are represented. Then the algebraic complement, or cofactor of $|Y|$ is given by*

$$(-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{m} i_k + \sum_{k=1}^{m} j_k} |Z|$$

where $|Z|$ is the complent of $|Y|$.

According to **Laplace's Expansion Theorem** (see 3.7.3, [4]) a formula for the determinant of X can be obtained by following three main steps.

(1) Select any m rows (or columns) from the matrix X.
(2) Collect all m-rowed minors of X found in these m rows (or columns).
(3) The determinant of X is equal to the sum of the products of each of these minors and its algebraic complement.

To be more precise, let $X = (X_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ be a square matrix of order n. Let $T(n,p)$ be the set of all p-tuples of integers: $s = (s_1, \cdots, s_p)$ where $1 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_p \leq n$. Given any $s, t \in T(n,p)$, we let $X(s,t)$ be the sub-matrix of order p of A such that

$$X(s,t)_{i,j} = X_{s_i,t_j}.$$

Next, let $X(s,t)^c$ be the complementary matrix of $X(s,t)$ which is a matrix of order $n-p$ obtained by removing rows $s_1,\cdots,s_p$ and columns $t_1,\cdots,t_p$ from the matrix A. Define

$$|s| = \sum_{k=1}^{p} s_k.$$

According to Laplace's Expansion Theorem, for any fixed $t \in T(n,p)$,

$$\det(X) = \sum_{s\in T(n,p)} (-1)^{|s|+|t|} \det(X(s,t)) \det(X(s,t)^c). \tag{2.1}$$

3. Proof of Main Results

Proposition 7. *Assume that $n>2$ and is even. Given any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the following holds true:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \gamma A^{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \gamma A^{2k} B.$$

In other words, there exists a subset $\{\gamma_{k_1},\cdots,\gamma_{k_n}\}$ of Γ of cardinality n which is linearly dependent over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let $I = \{0,2,\cdots,n-2\}$. Put $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$. Then

$$\sum_{k\in I} \mathbf{A}^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \mathbf{A}^{2k} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 1 & & & \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \omega^{2k} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \omega^{2(n-1)k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we claim that $\sum_{k\in I} \mathbf{A}^k$ is a diagonal matrix with only two nonzero entry. To see that this holds, it suffices to observe that $\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \mathbf{A}^{2k}\right)_{1,1} = \frac{n}{2}$ and for $j \neq 1$,

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \mathbf{A}^{2k}\right)_{j,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \omega^{2jk} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad j \neq \frac{n}{2} \\ \frac{n}{2} & \text{if} \quad j = \frac{n}{2} \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, for $j \neq l$, $\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \mathbf{A}^{2k}\right)_{j,l} = 0$. Next

(3.1)

$$\sum_{k\in I} \mathbf{A}^k\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n}{2} & & & & \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(2k)}{n}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & 0 & \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(2k)2}{n}} & & \vdots \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(2k)(n-1)}{n}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ & \vdots & & \iddots & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 & & \vdots \\ & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(3.2)

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n}{2} & & & & \\ & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(2k)}{n}} \\ & \vdots & & \iddots & 0 \\ & 0 & \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(2k)(n-2)}{n}} & & \vdots \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(2k)(n-1)}{n}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (3.1), and (3.2), it is easy to see that the entry

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(2k)(n-j)}{n}} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n-1$$

is the only possible nonzero element of $\mathrm{col}_{j+1}\left(\sum_{k\in I} \mathbf{A}^k\mathbf{B}\right)$. Thus, for any index j, $(0 \leq j \leq n-1)$ the complex number $\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} e^{\frac{2\pi i(2k)(n-j)}{n}}$ is a diagonal entry of the matrix $\sum_{k\in I} \mathbf{A}^k\mathbf{B}$ if and only if $j = \frac{n}{2}$, or $j = 0$. Therefore, $\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \mathbf{A}^{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \mathbf{A}^{2k}\mathbf{B}$ and this implies that $\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{2k}\mathbf{B}$. Since $\mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = A\mathbf{F}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{B} = B\mathbf{F}^{-1}$ then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} A^{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} A^{2k}B. \tag{3.3}$$

Finally, given any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, by multiplying (3.3) on the left by γ we obtain the desired result. □

Corollary 8. *If n is even then it is not possible to find a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that Γv has the Haar property.*

Proof. According to Proposition 7, any vector v is in the kernel of the linear operator $\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} A^{2k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} A^{2k}B$. Thus, for any fixed vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the set of vectors

$$\left\{A^{2k}v : 0 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}\right\} \cup \left\{A^{2k}Bv : 0 \leq k \leq \frac{n-2}{2}\right\}$$

is linearly dependent. □

Lemma 9. *Assume that n is an odd natural number greater than one. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq m < n$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \cdots, m-1\} \cup \{m, \cdots, n-1\}$. Let $B_1 \subseteq \{0, 1, \cdots, m-1\}, B_2 \subseteq \{m, \cdots, n-1\}$ such that $\operatorname{card}(B_1) = \operatorname{card}(B_2) \geq 1$. Then it is not possible for $B_1^{-1} = B_1$ and $B_2^{-1} = B_2$.*

Proof. We shall prove this lemma by cases. For the first case, let us suppose that $\operatorname{card}(B_1) = \operatorname{card}(B_2) = 1$. Since B_1 and B_2 are disjoint, then either B_1 contains a non-trivial element or B_2 contains a non-trivial element. In either case, it is not possible for $B_1^{-1} = B_1$ and $B_2^{-1} = B_2$. This is due to the fact that when n is odd, the only element which is equal to its additive inverse $(\operatorname{mod} n)$ is the trivial element 0. For the second case, let us suppose that $m < \frac{n}{2}$ and $\operatorname{card}(B_1) = \operatorname{card}(B_2) > 1$. Then, there is at least one non-trivial element of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ in the set B_1. If $B_1^{-1} = B_1$ then there exist $k, k' \in B_1$ such that $k = n - k'$. Now, since $k, k' \leq m - 1$ then $n = k + k' \leq 2(m-1) < n - 2$ and this is absurd. For the third case, let us suppose that $m > \frac{n}{2}$ and $\operatorname{card}(B_1) = \operatorname{card}(B_2) > 1$. If $B_2^{-1} = B_2$ then there must exist $k, k' \in B_2$ such that $k + k' = n$, and $k, k' \geq m$. Thus, $n = k + k' \geq 2m > n$ and this is absurd as well. □

Example 10. *Let $\mathbb{Z}_7 = \{0, \cdots, 6\}$. Put $m = 3$. Now let $B_1 = \{0, 1\}$ and $B_2 = \{3, 4\}$. Then $B_2^{-1} = B_2$. However, $B_1^{-1} = \{0, 6\} \neq B_1$.*

Remark 11. *We remark here that Lemma 9 fails when n is even. For example, let us consider the finite cyclic group of order four. Let $m = 2$, $B_1 = \{0\}$ and $B_2 = \{2\}$. Then clearly, $B_1^{-1} = B_1$ and $B_2^{-1} = B_2$.*

Define the group

$$\Sigma = \mathbf{F}\Gamma\mathbf{F}^{-1} = \left\{\mathbf{F}\gamma\mathbf{F}^{-1} : \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}$$

which is also isomorphic to the Dihedral group. We recall that for any vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we write

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} v_0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

For any subset $\Lambda = \{\gamma_{k_1}, \cdots, \gamma_{k_n}\}$ of the group Σ, we consider the corresponding matrix-valued function defined on $\mathbb{C}^n$ as follows.

$$\delta_\Lambda : f \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{k_1} f \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{k_n} f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma_{k_1} f)_0 & \cdots & (\gamma_{k_1} f)_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\gamma_{k_n} f)_0 & \cdots & (\gamma_{k_n} f)_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We acknowledge that the proof of the following proposition was partly inspired by the proof given for Theorem 4 [5].

Proposition 12. *Let Λ be any subset of Σ of cardinality n. If n is prime then there exists a Zariski open set $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that given any vector $f \in E$, $\det \delta_\Lambda(f)$ is a non-vanishing homogeneous polynomial.*

Proof. Put $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$. There are several cases to consider. For the first case, let us suppose that there exist natural numbers m, p such that $m + p = n$, such that

$$\Lambda = \left\{\mathbf{A}^{k_1}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}^{k_m}, \mathbf{A}^{\ell_1}\mathbf{B}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}^{\ell_p}\mathbf{B}\right\}$$

and

(3.4)

$$\delta_\Lambda(f) = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 & \omega^{k_1} f_1 & \cdots & \omega^{(m-1)k_1} f_{m-1} & \omega^{mk_1} f_m & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)k_1} f_{n-2} & \omega^{(n-1)k_1} f_{n-1} \\ f_0 & \omega^{k_2} f_1 & \cdots & \omega^{(m-1)k_2} f_{m-1} & \omega^{mk_2} f_m & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)k_2} f_{n-2} & \omega^{(n-1)k_2} f_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_0 & \omega^{k_m} f_1 & \cdots & \omega^{(m-1)k_m} f_{m-1} & \omega^{mk_m} f_m & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)k_m} f_{n-2} & \omega^{(n-1)k_m} f_{n-1} \\ f_0 & \omega^{\ell_1} f_{n-1} & \cdots & \omega^{(m-1)\ell_1} f_{n-(m-1)} & \omega^{m\ell_1} f_{n-m} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)\ell_1} f_2 & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_1} f_1 \\ f_0 & \omega^{\ell_2} f_{n-1} & \cdots & \omega^{(m-1)\ell_2} f_{n-(m-1)} & \omega^{m\ell_2} f_{n-m} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)\ell_2} f_2 & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_2} f_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_0 & \omega^{\ell_p} f_{n-1} & \cdots & \omega^{(m-1)\ell_p} f_{n-(m-1)} & \omega^{m\ell_p} f_{n-m} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)\ell_p} f_2 & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_p} f_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, fix $t = (1, \cdots, m)$. We consider the transpose of $\delta_\Lambda(f)$ which is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_0 & \cdots & f_0 & f_0 & \cdots & f_0 \\ \omega^{k_1} f_1 & \cdots & \omega^{k_m} f_1 & \omega^{\ell_1} f_{n-1} & \cdots & \omega^{\ell_p} f_{n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \omega^{(m-1)k_1} f_{m-1} & \cdots & \omega^{(m-1)k_m} f_{m-1} & \omega^{(m-1)\ell_1} f_{n-(m-1)} & \cdots & \omega^{(m-1)\ell_p} f_{n-(m-1)} \\ \omega^{mk_1} f_m & \cdots & \omega^{mk_m} f_m & \omega^{m\ell_1} f_{n-m} & \cdots & \omega^{m\ell_p} f_{n-m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \omega^{(n-2)k_1} f_{n-2} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)k_m} f_{n-2} & \omega^{(n-2)\ell_1} f_2 & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)\ell_p} f_2 \\ \omega^{(n-1)k_1} f_{n-1} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)k_m} f_{n-1} & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_1} f_1 & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_p} f_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To avoid cluster of notation, put

$$M_f = \left(\delta_\Lambda\left(f\right)\right)^T.$$

Applying Laplace's Expansion Theorem (2.1) to M_f, we obtain

$$\det\left(M_f\right) = \sum_{s\in T(n,m)} \left(-1\right)^{|s|+|t|} \det\left(M_f\left(s,t\right)\right) \det\left(\left(M_f\left(s,t\right)\right)^c\right).$$

For $t = \left(1,\cdots,m\right)$, $M_f\left(t,t\right)$ is the matrix obtained by retaining the first m rows and first m columns of the matrix M_f. The matrix $M_f\left(t,t\right)^c$ is a matrix of order $n-m=p$ which is obtained by deleting the first m rows and the first m columns of M_f. Thus, for $t = \left(1,\cdots,m\right)$, it is easy to see that $\left(-1\right)^{2|t|} \det\left(M_f\left(t,t\right)\right) \det\left(M_f\left(t,t\right)^c\right)$ is equal to

(3.5)

$$p_\Lambda\left(f\right) = \left|\begin{array}{ccc} f_0 & \cdots & f_0 \\ \omega^{k_1} f_1 & \cdots & \omega^{k_m} f_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \omega^{(m-1)k_1} f_{m-1} & \cdots & \omega^{(m-1)k_m} f_{m-1} \end{array}\right| \left|\begin{array}{ccc} \omega^{m\ell_1} f_{n-m} & \cdots & \omega^{m\ell_p} f_{n-m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \omega^{(n-2)\ell_1} f_2 & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)\ell_p} f_2 \\ \omega^{(n-1)\ell_1} f_1 & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_p} f_1 \end{array}\right|.$$

Using the fact that the determinant map is multi-linear, then (3.5) becomes

$$p_\Lambda\left(f\right) = ar\left(f\right) \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$a = \left|\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \omega^{k_1} & \cdots & \omega^{k_m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \omega^{(m-1)k_1} & \cdots & \omega^{(m-1)k_m} \end{array}\right| \left|\begin{array}{ccc} \omega^{m\ell_1} & \cdots & \omega^{m\ell_p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \omega^{(n-2)\ell_1} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)\ell_p} \\ \omega^{(n-1)\ell_1} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_p} \end{array}\right| \in \mathbb{C},$$

and $r\left(f\right)$ is the monomial given by

$$r\left(f\right) = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} f_k \prod_{j=1}^{n-m} f_j. \tag{3.7}$$

Using Chebotarev's theorem (see Lemma 4), since n is prime and because a is a product of minors of the discrete Fourier matrix, then $a \neq 0$ and the polynomial $p_\Lambda\left(f\right)$ is nonzero. Next, we remark that $\det\left(M_f\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n in the variables $f_0,\cdots,f_{n-1}$ and can be uniquely written as

$$\det\left(M_f\right) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha|=n} a_\alpha f_0^{\alpha_0}\cdots f_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}, \text{ where } a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Regarding the formula above, we remind the reader that the multi-index α is equal to $(\alpha_0, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1})$. To show that the polynomial $\det(M_f)$ is nonzero, it suffices to find a multi-index α such that $|\alpha| = n$ and $a_\alpha \neq 0$. In order to prove this fact, we would like to isolate a certain monomial of the type $f_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots f_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}}$ in

$$\det(M_f) = \sum_{s \in T(n,m)} (-1)^{|s|+|t|} \det(M_f(s,t)) \det((M_f(s,t))^c) \tag{3.8}$$

and prove that its corresponding coefficient a_α is non zero. The monomial in question that we aim to isolate is $r(f)$ which is defined in (3.7). We shall prove that the corresponding coefficient in (3.8) to $r(f)$ is just the complex number a which is described in Formula (3.6). First, it is easy to see that

$$r(f) = \prod_{k \in I(s)} f_k \prod_{j \in I(s)^c} f_j$$

where

$$I(s) = \{0 \leq k \leq m-1\} \text{ and } I(s)^c = \{1 \leq k \leq n-m\}.$$

Next for any $s^\circ \in T(n,m)$, let us suppose that $s^\circ \neq t$. We may write $s^\circ = \left(s^\circ_{j_1}, \cdots, s^\circ_{j_m}\right)$ and

$$\det(M_f(s^\circ, t)) \det(M_f(s^\circ, t)^c) = a^\circ \prod_{k \in I(s^\circ)} f_k \prod_{I(s^\circ)^c} f_k$$

where $a^\circ \in \mathbb{C}$ and the sets $I(s^\circ)$ and $I(s^\circ)^c$ are described as follows. There exists a natural number $m_1 \leq m$ such that

$$I(s^\circ) = (I(s) - \{j_1, \cdots, j_{m_1}\}) \cup \left\{j_1^\circ, \cdots, j_{m_1}^\circ\right\}, \tag{3.9}$$

all the j_k° are greater or equal to m, all the j_k are less or equal to $m-1$, $\left\{j_1^\circ, \cdots, j_{m_1}^\circ\right\} \cap \{j_1, \cdots, j_{m_1}\}$ is a null set and

$$I(s^\circ)^c = \left(I(s)^c - \left\{\overline{n - j_1^\circ}, \cdots, \overline{n - j_{m_1}^\circ}\right\}\right) \cup \left\{\overline{n - j_1}, \cdots, \overline{n - j_{m_1}}\right\}. \tag{3.10}$$

Here $\overline{x}$ stands for $x \bmod n$. The set $\{j_1, \cdots, j_{m_1}\}$ corresponds to the set of rows removed from $M_f(s,t)$ and the set $\left\{j_1^\circ, \cdots, j_{m_1}^\circ\right\}$ corresponds to the new rows which are then added to form a new sub-matrix $M_f(s^\circ, t)$. To prove that the coefficient a is the unique coefficient of the monomial $r(f)$, let us assume by contradiction that there exists $s^\circ \neq (1, \cdots, m)$ such that its corresponding monomial in (3.8) is

$$\prod_{k \in I(s^\circ)} f_k \prod_{j \in I(s^\circ)^c} f_j = \prod_{k \in I(s)} f_k \prod_{j \in I(s)^c} f_j.$$

Appealing to (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$\prod_{k\in I(s^\circ)} f_k \prod_{I(s^\circ)^c} f_k = \left(\prod_{k\in\left(I(s)-\{j_1,\cdots,j_{m_1}\}\right)\cup\left\{j_1^\circ,\cdots,j_{m_1}^\circ\right\}} f_k\right)\left(\prod_{j\in\left(I(s)^c-\left\{\overline{n-j_1^\circ},\cdots,\overline{n-j_{m_1}^\circ}\right\}\right)\cup\left\{\overline{n-j_1},\cdots,\overline{n-j_{m_1}}\right\}} f_j\right)$$
$$= \left(f_0\cdots f_{m-1}\right)\left(f_1\cdots f_{n-m}\right).$$

Since $\left\{j_1^\circ,\cdots,j_{m_1}^\circ\right\}\cap\{j_1,\cdots,j_{m_1}\}$ is an empty set then it must be the case that

$$f_{j_1^\circ}\cdots f_{j_{m_1}^\circ} = f_{\overline{n-j_1^\circ}}\cdots f_{\overline{n-j_{m_1}^\circ}} \text{ and } f_{\overline{n-j_1}}\cdots f_{\overline{n-j_{m_1}}} = f_{j_1}\cdots f_{j_{m_1}}.$$

As a result,

$$\{j_1,\cdots,j_{m_1}\} = \left\{\overline{n-j_1},\cdots,\overline{n-j_{m_1}}\right\},\ \left\{j_1^\circ,\cdots,j_{m_1}^\circ\right\} = \left\{\overline{n-j_1^\circ},\cdots,\overline{n-j_{m_1}^\circ}\right\}. \tag{3.11}$$

We observe that equality (3.11) is equivalent to

$$\{j_1,\cdots,j_{m_1}\} = \{j_1,\cdots,j_{m_1}\}^{-1} \text{ and } \left\{j_1^\circ,\cdots,j_{m_1}^\circ\right\} = \left\{j_1^\circ,\cdots,j_{m_1}^\circ\right\}^{-1}.$$

Now using the fact that

$$\max\left(\{j_1,\cdots,j_{m_1}\}\right)\le m-1 \text{ and } \min\left(\left\{j_1^\circ,\cdots,j_{m_1}^\circ\right\}\right)\ge m,$$

together with Lemma 9, then statement (3.11) is absurd. Thus, the corresponding coefficient in (3.8) to the monomial $r(f)$ is the nonzero complex number a. So, if

$$\Lambda = \left\{\mathbf{A}^{k_1},\cdots,\mathbf{A}^{k_m},\mathbf{A}^{\ell_1}\mathbf{B},\cdots,\mathbf{A}^{\ell_p}\mathbf{B}\right\}$$

then $\det\left(\delta_\Lambda(f)\right)$ is a non-vanishing polynomial. This completes the proof for the first case. For the other remaining cases, we have two other possibilities to consider. Either $\Lambda = \{\mathbf{A}^{k_1},\cdots,\mathbf{A}^{k_n}\}$ or $\Lambda = \{\mathbf{A}^{k_1}\mathbf{B},\cdots,\mathbf{A}^{k_n}\mathbf{B}\}$. Let us suppose that $\Lambda = \{\mathbf{A}^{k_1},\cdots,\mathbf{A}^{k_n}\}$. Put

$$a' = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \omega^{k_1} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)k_1} & \omega^{(n-1)k_1} \\ 1 & \omega^{k_2} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)k_2} & \omega^{(n-1)k_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{k_n} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-2)k_n} & \omega^{(n-1)k_n} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\det\left(\delta_\Lambda(f)\right) = a'\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j.$$

Appealing again to the fact that n is prime, and since a' is a minor of a Fourier matrix then $\det\left(\delta_\Lambda\left(f\right)\right)$ is also a non-vanishing polynomial. For the last case, let us assume that $\Lambda = \left\{\mathbf{A}^{k_1}\mathbf{B}, \cdots, \mathbf{A}^{k_n}\mathbf{B}\right\}$. Then

$$\delta_\Lambda\left(f\right) = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 & \omega^{\ell_1} f_{n-1} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_1} f_1 \\ f_0 & \omega^{\ell_2} f_{n-1} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_2} f_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_0 & \omega^{\ell_{n-1}} f_{n-1} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_p} f_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using similar arguments to the second case, then

$$\det \delta_\Lambda\left(f\right) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \omega^{\ell_1} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_1} \\ 1 & \omega^{\ell_2} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{\ell_{n-1}} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)\ell_p} \end{vmatrix} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j \neq 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

Example 13. *Let $n = 7$. Let us suppose that we pick a subset Λ of Σ of cardinality four such that $\delta_\Lambda\left(\left[f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6\right]^T\right)^T$ is equal to*

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_0 & f_0 & f_0 & f_0 & f_0 & f_0 & f_0 \\ f_1 e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_1} & f_1 e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_2} & f_1 e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_3} & f_1 e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_4} & f_6 e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi \ell_1} & f_6 e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi \ell_2} & f_6 e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi \ell_3} \\ f_2 e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi k_1} & f_2 e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi k_2} & f_2 e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi k_3} & f_2 e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi k_4} & f_5 e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi \ell_1} & f_5 e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi \ell_2} & f_5 e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi \ell_3} \\ f_3 e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi k_1} & f_3 e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi k_2} & f_3 e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi k_3} & f_3 e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi k_4} & f_4 e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi \ell_1} & f_4 e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi \ell_2} & f_4 e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi \ell_3} \\ f_4 e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi k_1} & f_4 e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi k_2} & f_4 e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi k_3} & f_4 e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi k_4} & f_3 e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_1} & f_3 e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_2} & f_3 e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_3} \\ f_5 e^{\frac{10}{7}i\pi k_1} & f_5 e^{\frac{10}{7}i\pi k_2} & f_5 e^{\frac{10}{7}i\pi k_3} & f_5 e^{\frac{10}{7}i\pi k_4} & f_2 e^{\frac{10}{7}i\pi \ell_1} & f_2 e^{\frac{10}{7}i\pi \ell_2} & f_2 e^{\frac{10}{7}i\pi \ell_3} \\ f_6 e^{\frac{12}{7}i\pi k_1} & f_6 e^{\frac{12}{7}i\pi k_2} & f_6 e^{\frac{12}{7}i\pi k_3} & f_6 e^{\frac{12}{7}i\pi k_4} & f_1 e^{\frac{12}{7}i\pi \ell_1} & f_1 e^{\frac{12}{7}i\pi \ell_2} & f_1 e^{\frac{12}{7}i\pi \ell_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The monomial isolated in the proof of Proposition 12 to show that

$$\det\left(\delta_\Lambda\left(\left[f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6\right]^T\right)\right)$$

is a non-trivial polynomial is: $f_0 f_1^2 f_2^2 f_3^2$. The coefficient of $f_0 f_1^2 f_2^2 f_3^2$ in the polynomial $\det\left(\delta_\Lambda\left(\left[f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6\right]^T\right)\right)$ is given by

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_1} & e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_2} & e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_3} & e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_4} \\ e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi k_1} & e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi k_2} & e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi k_3} & e^{\frac{4}{7}i\pi k_4} \\ e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi k_1} & e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi k_2} & e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi k_3} & e^{\frac{6}{7}i\pi k_4} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_1} & e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_2} & e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_3} \\ e^{\frac{10}{7}i\pi \ell_1} & e^{\frac{10}{7}i\pi \ell_2} & e^{\frac{10}{7}i\pi \ell_3} \\ e^{\frac{12}{7}i\pi \ell_1} & e^{\frac{12}{7}i\pi \ell_2} & e^{\frac{12}{7}i\pi \ell_3} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{3.12}$$

Furthermore, with some formal calculations, it is easy to see that (3.12) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\left(e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_1}-e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_2}\right)\left(e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_1}-e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_3}\right)\left(e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_1}-e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_4}\right)\\
&\left(e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_2}-e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_3}\right)\left(e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_2}-e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_4}\right)\left(e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_3}-e^{\frac{2}{7}i\pi k_4}\right)\\
&\Big(e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_1}e^{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_2)}e^{\frac{5}{4}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_3)}-e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_1}e^{\frac{5}{4}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_2)}e^{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_3)}\\
&-e^{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_1)}e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_2}e^{\frac{5}{4}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_3)}+e^{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_1)}e^{\frac{5}{4}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_2)}e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_3}\\
&+e^{\frac{5}{4}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_1)}e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_2}e^{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_3)}-e^{\frac{5}{4}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_1)}e^{\frac{3}{2}(\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_2)}e^{\frac{8}{7}i\pi \ell_3}\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.** The proofs of Part 1 and 2 of Theorem 1 follow from Corollary 8, Proposition 12 and Lemma 3. Finally, Part 3 is a direct consequence of Part 2.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.** Let τ be a unitary irreducible representation of D_{2n}. The classification of the irreducible representations of the Dihedral group is well-understood (see Page 36, [8]). When n is even, then up to equivalence there are four one-dimensional irreducible representations. When n is odd, up to equivalence there are two one-dimensional irreducible representations of the Dihedral group. If τ is an irreducible representation of D_{2n} which is not a character then it is well-known that τ must be a two-dimensional representation obtained by inducing some character of the normal subgroup generated by r to D_{2n}. If τ is a character then Part 1 holds obviously. In fact, for any nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{C}$, the set $\tau(D_{2n})v$ has the Haar property. Now, suppose that τ is not a character. Furthermore, assume that n is odd. Then there exists j, $1 \le j \le n-1$ and a realization of the representation τ such that $\tau = \tau_j$, where

$$
\tau_j(r) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{2\pi j i}{n}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{2\pi j i}{n}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \tau_j(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.13}
$$

Similarly, in the case where n is even, there exists j, $j \in \{1, \cdots, n-1\} - \left\{\frac{n}{2}\right\}$ such that $\tau = \tau_j$ is as described in (3.13). For Part 2, assume that n is prime. There are three main cases to consider. Let $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Let us suppose

that $M = \tau(r)^{k_1}, N = \tau(r)^{k_2}$ such that $k_1 \neq k_2$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then

$$\left| \begin{array}{cc} Mv & Nv \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} v_1 e^{2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_1} & v_1 e^{2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_2} \\ v_2 e^{-2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_1} & v_2 e^{-2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_2} \end{array} \right| = 2iv_1 v_2 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi j (k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right).$$

Next, let us suppose that $M = \tau(r)^{k_1}, N = \tau(r^{k_2} s)$ where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \begin{array}{cc} Mv & Nv \end{array} \right| &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} v_1 e^{2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_1} & v_2 e^{2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_2} \\ v_2 e^{-2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_1} & v_1 e^{-2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_2} \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left(v_1^2 - v_2^2\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi j (k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right) + i\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi j (k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us suppose that

$$M = \tau\left(r^{k_1} s\right), N = \tau\left(r^{k_2} s\right)$$

such that $k_1 \neq k_2$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then

$$\left| \begin{array}{cc} Mv & Nv \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} v_2 e^{2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_1} & v_2 e^{2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_2} \\ v_1 e^{-2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_1} & v_1 e^{-2i\pi \frac{j}{n} k_2} \end{array} \right| = 2iv_1 v_2 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi j (k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right).$$

Next, it is easy to check that the polynomials

$$p(v_1, v_2) = 2iv_1 v_2 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi j (k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right),$$

and

$$p'(v_1, v_2) = \left(v_1^2 - v_2^2\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi j (k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right) + i\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi j (k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right) \tag{3.14}$$

are all non-trivial whenever n is prime. Indeed, if n is prime, $k_1 - k_2 \in \{1, \cdots, n-1\}$, $j \in \{1, \cdots, n-1\}$, then the real number $\frac{2\pi j (k_1 - k_2)}{n}$ can never be equal to $\pi \ell$ where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, $p(v_1, v_2)$ is a nonzero homogeneous polynomial in v_1, v_2. Next, since the coefficient of the monomial v_1^2 in (3.14) is given by $e^{i \frac{2\pi j (k_1 - k_2)}{n}}$ then $p'(v_1, v_2)$ is a nonzero homogeneous polynomial as well. So when n is prime, for any distinct matrices $M, N \in \tau(D_{2n})$, the set $\{Mv, Nv\}$ is linearly independent for almost every $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$. For Part 4, let us assume that n is an odd composite number. Then there exist odd natural numbers $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

such that $n = n_1 n_2$ and $n_k \notin \{1, n\}$. Next, we observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{2\pi n_1 i}{n_1 n_2} \times n_2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{2\pi n_1 i}{n_1 n_2} \times n_2} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So for any vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the set $\{v, \tau_{n_1}(r^{n_2})\, v\}$ is linearly dependent. Now, let us consider the representation of the Dihedral group τ_1 defined such that

$$\tau_1(r) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{n}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \tau_1(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For distinct matrices $M, N \in \tau(D_{2n})$, either

$$\begin{vmatrix} Mv & Nv \end{vmatrix} = 2iv_1v_2 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi(k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right),\ k_1 - k_2 \in \{1, \cdots, m-1\}$$

or

$$\begin{vmatrix} Mv & Nv \end{vmatrix} = \left(v_1^2 - v_2^2\right)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi(k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right) + i\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2\right)\sin\left(\frac{2\pi(k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right),$$

where $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, \cdots, n-1\}$. Since n is assumed to be odd and because $k_1 - k_2 \in \{1, \cdots, n-1\}$; it is easy to see that $2iv_1v_2 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi(k_1-k_2)}{n}\right)$ is a non-trivial homogeneous polynomial. To show this, let us suppose that for $k = k_1 - k_2$, $\frac{2\pi k}{n} = \pi\ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $k = \frac{1}{2}n\ell$. Since n is odd then $\ell = 2\ell'$ for some $\ell' \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that k is a multiple of n, and this is impossible. Next, the fact that

$$\left(v_1^2 - v_2^2\right)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi(k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right) + i\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2\right)\sin\left(\frac{2\pi(k_1 - k_2)}{n}\right)$$

is a nonzero polynomial was already proved for Part 2. Finally for Part 3, let us assume that $n = 2j$ is even, and $j \in \{1, \cdots, n-1\} - \left\{\frac{n}{2}\right\}$. If j is odd, then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{2\pi ji}{n}\frac{n}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{2\pi ji}{n}\frac{n}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also, if j is even then

$$-\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{2\pi ji}{n}\frac{n}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{2\pi ji}{n}\frac{n}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus it is not possible to find a vector in $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that for any distinct matrices $M, N \in \tau(D_{2n})$, the set $\{Mv, Nv\}$ is linearly independent. This completes the proof.

4. Examples

Example 14. *Let $n = 3$. For any subset Λ of Σ of cardinality 3, it is not too hard to see that the polynomial $\delta_\Lambda\left((1, z, z^4)^T\right)$ is a nonzero polynomial of degree at most 8 in the variable z. Thus, given any algebraic number x of degree at least 9 over the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}\right)$ or given any transcendental number x, x cannot be a root of the polynomial $\delta_\Lambda\left((1, z, z^4)^T\right)$. It follows that the set $\Gamma\mathbf{F}^{-1}(1, z, z^4)^T$ is a frame in $\mathbb{C}^3$ which is maximally robust to erasures. For example, let*

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} \\ \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & \frac{1}{2}i - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} & -\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{2}i \\ \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3} & -\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{2}i & \frac{1}{2}i - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pi \\ \pi^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\pi + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 + \frac{1}{3}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{6}(\pi - 1)\left(\sqrt{3}\pi - 3i\pi + 2\sqrt{3}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{6}(\pi - 1)\left(3i\pi + \sqrt{3}\pi + 2\sqrt{3}\right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}\left(\frac{1}{3}\pi + \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 + \frac{1}{3}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{6}(\pi - 1)\left(\sqrt{3}\pi - 3i\pi + 2\sqrt{3}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{6}(\pi - 1)\left(3i\pi + \sqrt{3}\pi + 2\sqrt{3}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a frame in $\mathbb{C}^3$ which is maximally robust to erasures.

Example 15. *Let $n = 5$. Put*

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} i & -i & 1 & 1+i & 2-i \end{pmatrix}^T.$$

Using Mathematica, we are able to show that Γv is a frame in $\mathbb{C}^5$ which is maximally robust to erasures. Put

$$M_\Gamma(v) = \begin{pmatrix} i & -i & 1 & 1+i & 2-i \\ 2-i & i & -i & 1 & 1+i \\ 1+i & 2-i & i & -i & 1 \\ 1 & 1+i & 2-i & i & -i \\ -i & 1 & 1+i & 2-i & i \\ i & 2-i & 1+i & 1 & -i \\ -i & i & 2-i & 1+i & 1 \\ 1 & -i & i & 2-i & 1+i \\ 1+i & 1 & -i & i & 2-i \\ 2-i & 1+i & 1 & -i & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Each row of the matrix above corresponds to an element of the orbit of v. Thus every sub-matrix of $M_\Gamma(v)$ of order five is invertible.

References

[1] A. C, Aitken Determinants and Matrices, Oliver and Boyd, Ltd., Edinburgh (1939).

[2] P. Casazza, J. Kovacevi, Equal-Norm Tight Frames with Erasures, Adv. Comput. Math. 18(2-4), 387–430 (2003).

[3] R.J. Evans, I.M. Isaacs, Generalized Vandermonde Determinants and Roots of Unity of Prime Order, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 58, 51–54 (1976).

[4] E. Howard Elementary matrix theory, Reprint of the 1966 edition. Dover Books on Advanced Mathematics. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1980.

[5] J. Lawrence, G. Pfander, D. Walnut, Linear Independence of Gabor Systems in Finite Dimensional Vector Spaces, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 11(6), 715–726 (2005).

[6] G. Pfander, Gabor Frames in Finite Dimensions, Finite frames (Chapter VI), 193–239, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser / Springer, New York (2013).

[7] A. Terras, Fourier Analysis on Finite Groups and Applications, London Math. Soc. Stud. Texts, vol. 43 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1999).

[8] J-P. Serre, Linear Representations of Finite Groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg.

(4): Dept. of Mathematics, Bridgewater State University, Bridgewater, MA 02324 U.S.A.,

E-mail address: Vignon.Oussa@bridgew.edu